Counting Curves on Surfaces

Janiel V. Mathews (joint with Norman Do and Musashi Koyama)

Monash University Daniel.Mathews@monash.edu

Australian Mathematics Society Meeting Flinders University 30 September 2015

"Counting curves" in mathematics

"Counting curves" is prominent in mathematics today, e.g.:

• *Moduli spaces* are families of complex curves (Riemann surfaces) satisfying certain conditions.

$$\frac{G_{enus}}{\Sigma} = \frac{g}{4} \frac{g}{conpound} \frac{g}{n+2}$$

$$\frac{g}{\Sigma} = \frac{g}{d_{m}} \frac{M_{g,n}}{M_{g,n}} = \frac{3g}{3g} - \frac{3+n}{2}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ つへぐ

"Counting curves" in mathematics

"Counting curves" is prominent in mathematics today, e.g.:

• *Moduli spaces* are families of complex curves (Riemann surfaces) satisfying certain conditions.



 Gromov-Witten theory enumerates moduli spaces of curves in varieties, or symplectic manifolds. Counting 0-D moduli spaces a key idea in *Floer homology*.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

"Counting curves" in mathematics

"Counting curves" is prominent in mathematics today, e.g.:

• *Moduli spaces* are families of complex curves (Riemann surfaces) satisfying certain conditions.



- Gromov-Witten theory enumerates moduli spaces of curves in varieties, or symplectic manifolds. Counting 0-D moduli spaces a key idea in *Floer homology*.
- *Contact structures* are enumerated by counting configurations of curves (*dividing sets*) on a surface.





A naive problem

(Joint work with N. Do & M. Koyama, in progress...) Consider a compact orientable surface S with some points marked on the boundary ∂S .



A naive problem

(Joint work with N. Do & M. Koyama, in progress...) Consider a compact orientable surface S with some points marked on the boundary ∂S .



Question

How many ways are there to join these points with curves?

A naive problem

(Joint work with N. Do & M. Koyama, in progress...) Consider a compact orientable surface S with some points marked on the boundary ∂S .



Question

How many ways are there to join these points with curves?

Many variants possible:

- Closed curves allowed? If so, which ones?
- What sets of curves are equivalent?
- Points signed? Curves oriented?

(Avoid answers of ∞ .)

One way to set up the problem precisely.

- Let *S* be a compact orientable surface of genus *g* with *n* boundary components
- B_1, \ldots, B_n be the boundary components of *S*
- $F \subset \partial S$ be a finite set with b_i points on B_i .



イロト 不良 とくほ とくほう 二日

One way to set up the problem precisely.

- Let *S* be a compact orientable surface of genus *g* with *n* boundary components
- B_1, \ldots, B_n be the boundary components of S
- $F \subset \partial S$ be a finite set with b_i points on B_i .



Definition

An *arc diagram* on (S, F) is a properly embedded collection of arcs on *S* with boundary *F*.

Definition

Arc diagrams C_1, C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \to S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三■ - のへぐ

Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \to S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.





Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.



Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.



Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \rightarrow S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.



Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \to S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.



Definition

Arc diagrams C_1 , C_2 are *equivalent* if \exists homeomorphism $\phi : S \to S$ such that $\phi|_{\partial S} = 1_{\partial S}$ and $\phi(C_1) = C_2$.



Let $G_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ denote the number of equivalence classes of arc diagrams on (S, F). This gives a finite count!

Question

What is
$$G_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$
?

Some preliminary observations:

- For any *g* and *n*, $G_{g,n}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 1$
- If $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ is odd, then $G_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = 0$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Some preliminary observations:

- For any *g* and *n*, $G_{g,n}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 1$
- If $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ is odd, then $G_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = 0$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Some small cases:

Discs

$$(g, n) = (0, 1)$$

•
$$G_{0,1}(4) = 2$$

Some preliminary observations:

- For any g and n, $G_{g,n}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 1$
- If $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ is odd, then $G_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n) = 0$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Some small cases:

Discs

$$(g, n) = (0, 1)$$

• $G_{0,1}(4) = 2$

Annuli (g, n) = (0, 2): • $G_{0,2}(0, 2) = 2$

Some preliminary observations:

- For any g and n, $G_{g,n}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 1$
- If $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ is odd, then $G_{g,n}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ Some small cases:

Discs

$$(g, n) = (0, 1)$$

• $G_{0,1}(4) = 2$

•
$$G_{0,2}(1,1) = 1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Annuli (g, n) = (0, 2): • $G_{0,2}(0, 2) = 2$

Some preliminary observations:

- For any g and n, $G_{g,n}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 1$
- If $b_1 + \cdots + b_n$ is odd, then $G_{g,n}(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ Some small cases:

Discs (g, n) = (0, 1)

•
$$G_{0,1}(4) = 2$$

Annuli (g, n) = (0, 2): • $G_{0,2}(0, 2) = 2$



The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n)=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$$

The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,1}(2n+1) = 0$$

The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,1}(2n+1) = 0$$

There are also results for annuli:

(Przytycki(?))
$$G_{0,2}(2n,0) = {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,2}(2n+1,0) = 0$$

The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,1}(2n+1) = 0$$

There are also results for annuli:

(Przytycki(?))
$$G_{0,2}(2n,0) = {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,2}(2n+1,0) = 0$$

(M.–Koyama) $G_{0,2}(2n_1,2n_2) = {\binom{2n_1}{n_1}} {\binom{2n_2}{n_2}} \frac{n_1 + n_2 + n_1n_2}{n_1 + n_2}$

The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,1}(2n+1) = 0$$

There are also results for annuli:

$$(\mathsf{Przytycki}(?)) \quad G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}, \quad G_{0,2}(2n+1,0) = 0$$
$$(\mathsf{M}.-\mathsf{Koyama}) \quad G_{0,2}(2n_1,2n_2) = \binom{2n_1}{n_1}\binom{2n_2}{n_2}\frac{n_1+n_2+n_1n_2}{n_1+n_2}$$
$$G_{0,2}(2n_1+1,2n_2+1) = \binom{2n_1}{n_1}\binom{2n_2}{n_2}\frac{(2n_1+1)(2n_2+1)}{n_1+n_2+1}$$

The case of discs is quite well known: Catalan numbers.

$$G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,1}(2n+1) = 0$$

There are also results for annuli:

(Przytycki(?))
$$G_{0,2}(2n,0) = {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad G_{0,2}(2n+1,0) = 0$$

(M.–Koyama) $G_{0,2}(2n_1,2n_2) = {\binom{2n_1}{n_1}} {\binom{2n_2}{n_2}} \frac{n_1+n_2+n_1n_2}{n_1+n_2}$
 $G_{0,2}(2n_1+1,2n_2+1) = {\binom{2n_1}{n_1}} {\binom{2n_2}{n_2}} \frac{(2n_1+1)(2n_2+1)}{n_1+n_2+1}$

One can continue in this vein...

$$(M.?) \quad G_{0,3}(2n_1, 2n_2, 2n_3) = \binom{2n_1}{n_1} \binom{2n_2}{n_2} \binom{2n_3}{n_3} (n_1 + 1)(n_2 + 1)(n_3 + 1)$$

$$G_{0,3}(2n_1 + 1, 2n_2 + 1, 2n_3) = \binom{2n_1}{n_1} \binom{2n_2}{n_2} \binom{2n_3}{n_3} (2n_1 + 1)(2n_2 + 1)(n_3 + 1)$$

$$G_{0,4}(2n_1, 2n_2, 2n_3, 2n_4) = \binom{2n_1}{n_1} \binom{2n_2}{n_2} \binom{2n_3}{n_3} \binom{2n_4}{n_4} \binom{1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2}{n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{2n_3}{n_3} \binom{2n_4}{n_4} \binom{1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2}{n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{2n_2}{n_2} \binom{2n_3}{n_3} \binom{2n_4}{n_4} \binom{1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2}{n_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} n_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} \frac{2n_3}{n_3} \binom{2n_4}{n_4} \binom{$$

Proof that
$$G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}$$
 and $G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ (Przytycki?)

Proof that $G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ (Przytycki?)

Given an annulus with 2*n* points on the boundary, consider orienting them so that *n point in* and *n point out*.

Proof that $G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ (Przytycki?)

Given an annulus with 2*n* points on the boundary, consider orienting them so that *n point in* and *n point out*.



From such a choice, there is a unique way to construct an arc diagram (up to equivalence).

・ロン ・四 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

Proof that $G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ (Przytycki?)

Given an annulus with 2*n* points on the boundary, consider orienting them so that *n* point in and *n* point out.



From such a choice, there is a unique way to construct an arc diagram (up to equivalence).

Filling in the disc gives an (n + 1)-to-1 map from arcs on annuli to discs.

Proof that $G_{0,2}(2n,0) = \binom{2n}{n}$ and $G_{0,1}(2n) = \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$ (Przytycki?)

Given an annulus with 2*n* points on the boundary, consider orienting them so that *n* point in and *n* point out.



From such a choice, there is a unique way to construct an arc diagram (up to equivalence).

Filling in the disc gives an (n + 1)-to-1 map from arcs on annuli to discs.

A more elaborate but similar style argument can be used to prove the general $G_{0,2}$ formula.

A general result

A *quasi-polynomial* $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a family of polynomial functions depending on the congruence classes of $x_1, ..., x_n$.

A general result

A *quasi-polynomial* $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a family of polynomial functions depending on the congruence classes of $x_1, ..., x_n$.

Theorem (Do–M.)

For $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$, $G_{g,n}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is the product of • a combinatorial factor for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, which is

$$egin{pmatrix} b_i\ b_i/2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 if b_i is even, or $egin{pmatrix} b_i-1\ (b_i-1)/2 \end{pmatrix}$ if b_i is odd; and

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

 a quasi-polynomial P_{g,n}(b₁,..., b_n) over Q of degree 3g − 3 + 2n depending on b₁,..., b_n mod 2.

A general result

A *quasi-polynomial* $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is a family of polynomial functions depending on the congruence classes of $x_1, ..., x_n$.

Theorem (Do–M.)

For $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$, $G_{g,n}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is the product of • a combinatorial factor for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, which is

$$egin{pmatrix} b_i\ b_i/2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 if b_i is even, or $egin{pmatrix} b_i-1\ (b_i-1)/2 \end{pmatrix}$ if b_i is odd; and

 a quasi-polynomial P_{g,n}(b₁,..., b_n) over Q of degree 3g − 3 + 2n depending on b₁,..., b_n mod 2.

E.g. for any $(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2),$

$$G_{g,n}(2m_1,\ldots,2m_n)=\binom{2m_1}{m_1}\cdots\binom{2m_n}{m_n}P_{g,n}(2m_1,\ldots,2m_n).$$

where $P_{g,n}$ is a polynomial of degree 3g - 3 + 2n.

Turns out to be useful to consider arc diagrams *without boundary-parallel arcs* — i.e. no arc can cut off a disc.



Definition

Let $N_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ be the number of (equivalence classes) of arc diagrams on (S, F) without boundary-parallel arcs.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

• $N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

• $N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1,b_2}$
 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$?

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.



・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.



Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.



Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Define $\bar{n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} n & n > 0 \\ 1 & n = 0 \end{array} \right\} = n + \delta_{n,0}.$

Small cases:

•
$$N_{0,1}(b_1) = \delta_{b_1,0}$$

•
$$N_{0,2}(b_1, b_2) = b_1 \delta_{b_1, b_2}$$

 $N_{0,3}(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \cdots$? Turns out once b_1, b_2, b_3 are specified (and their sum is even), this specifies a *unique* way to join boundary components. Then rotate around each boundary.



Define
$$\bar{n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} n & n > 0 \\ 1 & n = 0 \end{array} \right\} = n + \delta_{n,0}.$$

Proposition (M.?)

 $N_{0,3}(b_1,b_2,b_3)=ar{b}_1ar{b}_2ar{b}_3$ (provided $b_1+b_2+b_3$ even).

One can continue in this vein...

Theorem (Do–M.)

 $N_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2 \bar{b}_3 \bar{b}_4 P_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$

where

$$P_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + 2 & \text{all } b_i \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + \frac{1}{2} & \text{two even, two odd} \\ \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + 2 & \text{all odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

One can continue in this vein...

Theorem (Do–M.)

 $N_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = \bar{b}_1 \bar{b}_2 \bar{b}_3 \bar{b}_4 P_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$

where

$$P_{0,4}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + 2 & \text{all } b_i \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + \frac{1}{2} & \text{two even, two odd} \\ \frac{1}{4}(b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 + b_4^2) + 2 & \text{all odd} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Do–M.)

A similar formula holds for each g and n.

Theorem (Do-M.)

For
$$(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$$
 and $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$,

$$N_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \overline{b}_1 \cdots \overline{b}_n \hat{N}_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

where $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ is a polynomial in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 of degree 3g - 3 + n.

A similar formula holds for each g and n.

Theorem (Do-M.)

For
$$(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$$
 and $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$,

$$N_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \overline{b}_1 \cdots \overline{b}_n \hat{N}_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

where $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ is a polynomial in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 of degree 3g - 3 + n.

The polynomials $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ are quite interesting:

A similar formula holds for each g and n.

Theorem (Do-M.)

For
$$(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$$
 and $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$,

$$N_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \overline{b}_1 \cdots \overline{b}_n \hat{N}_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

where $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ is a polynomial in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 of degree 3g - 3 + n.

The polynomials $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ are quite interesting:

• 3g - 3 + n = dimension of moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

A similar formula holds for each g and n.

Theorem (Do-M.)

For
$$(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$$
 and $(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq (0, \ldots, 0)$,

$$N_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \overline{b}_1 \cdots \overline{b}_n \hat{N}_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

where $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ is a polynomial in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 of degree 3g - 3 + n.

The polynomials $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ are quite interesting:

- 3g 3 + n = dimension of moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
- Their top-degree terms agree with
 - Kontsevich's volume polynomials: polytopes in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
 - Norbury's *lattice count polynomials*: enumeration in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

A similar formula holds for each g and n.

Theorem (Do-M.)

For
$$(g, n) \neq (0, 1), (0, 2)$$
 and $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$,

$$N_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) = \overline{b}_1 \cdots \overline{b}_n \hat{N}_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$$

where $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ is a polynomial in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 of degree 3g - 3 + n.

The polynomials $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ are quite interesting:

- 3g 3 + n = dimension of moduli space of curves $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
- Their top-degree terms agree with
 - Kontsevich's volume polynomials: polytopes in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
 - Norbury's *lattice count polynomials*: enumeration in $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.
- Top-degree terms give intersection numbers on M_{g,n}: the coefficient c_{d1,...,dn} of b^{d1}₁ · · · b^{dn}_n satisfies

$$c_{d_1,\ldots,d_n} = \frac{1}{2^{5g-6+2n}d_1!\cdots d_n!} \langle \psi_1^{d_1}\cdots \psi_n^{d_n}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rangle.$$

= nar

Idea of proofs

Proofs draw heavily on ideas of Do–Norbury, Eynard–Orantin, Mulase, Norbury, Norbury–Scott.

• $G_{g,n}(b_1,...,b_n)$ (also $N_{g,n}, \hat{N}_{g,N}$) satisfy a *topological* recursion of Eynard–Orantin & Mulase

$$\begin{aligned} G_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n) &= \sum_{j=0}^{b_1-2} G_{g-1,n+1}(j,b_1-j-2,b_2,\ldots,b_n) \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^n b_k G_{g,n-1}(b_1+b_k-2,b_2,\ldots,\widehat{b_k},\ldots,b_n) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{b_1-2} \sum_{g_1+g_2=g} \sum_{l_1\sqcup l_2=\{2,\ldots,n\}} G_{g_1,|l_1|+1}(j,g_{l_1}) \ G_{g_2,|l_2|+1}(b_1-j-2,b_{l_2}). \end{aligned}$$

- Show $\widehat{N}_{g,n}$ are even polynomials in b_i (Norbury).
- Solution Arc diagrams decompose into " ∂ -parallel" and "guts":

$$G_{g,n}(b_1,\ldots,b_n)=\sum_{a_1=0}^{b_1}\cdots\sum_{a_n=0}^{b_n}\binom{b_1}{\frac{b_1-a_1}{2}}\cdots\binom{b_n}{\frac{b_n-a_n}{2}}N_{g,n}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$$

Show G_{g,n} have desired form (Norbury–Scott).

Summary

Count curves" on a surface in the most naive way:

- *G*_{*g*,*n*}(*b*₁,...,*b*_{*n*}) count embedded arcs with fixed boundary points, up to homeomorphism fixing boundary pointwise.
- A generalisation of Catalan numbers.
- Polynomiality results
 - For each g and n, G_{g,n}(b₁,..., b_n) is given by combinatorial factors (^{b_i}<sub>b_{i/2}), multiplied by a quasipolynomial in b₁,..., b_n.
 </sub>
 - Consider arc diagrams *without boundary-parallel arcs*; counted by $N_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$.
 - For each *g* and *n*, $N_{g,n}(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ turns out to be given by $\bar{b}_1 \cdots \bar{b}_n$ multiplied by a quasipolynomial $\hat{N}_{g,n}$ in b_1^2, \ldots, b_n^2 .
- Arrive at more advanced forms of "curve-counting"
 - $\widehat{N}_{g,n}$ closely related to volume of moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$
 - Encode intersection numbers of ψ -classes.

Many questions raised:

- More structure? Eynard–Orantin topological recursion... spectral curve, quantum curve, etc.
- Do other "curve-counting" problems have similar structure?

Thanks for listening!